Institutional Efficiency return 2017-18

1.	University/College name	Glasgow Clyde College
2.	Institution's Responsible Officer	Jon Vincent
3.	Total cash efficiency achieved	
4.	Efficiency achieved as % of SFC total grant	10.08% (excl APUC reported procurement savings)
5.	Summary of efficiency activity e.g. • The main initiatives the institution has taken over the year to ensure a strategic approach to increased efficiency and productivity and the improvements achieved in these areas.	Securing capital and revenue funding from ALF to support a range of capital and revenue development projects. Local tenders/mini-competitions to achieve procurement savings collaborating where possible with other institutions Continuous review of staffing vacancies to achieve staffing efficiencies Review of range of non-staff budget lines.
	 The main information the institution uses to assess productivity, service, quality and performance and how the scope, usefulness or reliability has been improved during the year. 	Evaluation of ALF projects Ongoing contract management for significant value contracts College Staffing Group chaired by EMT members reviews all staffing requests Budget monitoring and regular dialogue with budget holders
	 Specific steps the institution has taken during the year to improve collaboration and joint working to deliver efficient and user-focused services and the improvements achieved. 	As above
6.	How have savings been applied?	To implement a range of capital and revenue development projects To maintain outputs/outcomes to mitigate impact of budget cuts or increased cost pressures.
7.		Procurement= In 2017/18 the College achieved procurement savings of £93k from local tenders and

Institutional Efficiency return 2017-18

ŕ		
	Breakdown of efficiency savings	mini-competition exercises. For the purposes of this
	by procurement and shared	return these are shown as 'One-Off' savings as they will
	services	recur but only for the terms of the related contracts.
	(Only where relevant – not all	These savings are in addition to the APUC Benefit
	efficiencies will fall into these	Statement BT1 savings of £492k as reported directly to
	categories, so the figures here may not match the overall total).	SFC by APUC.
		Shared services= APUC provides the College
		procurement shared service, see above for savings.
8.	Evidence: what performance	ALF projects evaluation reports
	measures and/or quality	Ongoing contract management for significant value
	indicators are used to ensure that	contracts including relevant KPIs
	efficiencies were achieved without	College Staffing Group chaired by EMT members
	any detriment to services	reviews all staffing requests taking account of impact
		of decisions on student experience
	At the local state of the second state of the	Budget monitoring and regular dialogue with budget
		holders
		Student satisfaction surveys
		Staff surveys
		Monitoring of agreed quality indicators
		Established cross College KPIs

I confirm that the efficiencies delivered during the year 2017-18 are detailed in the above table and I am satisfied that the efficiencies identified fall within the definition given in the SFC Call for Information.

Signed:......(Head of institution)

Date: 30)8/19